



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,136	09/26/2003	Lalitha Vaidyanathan	1018-001US03	6107
28863 7	590 04/21/2005		EXAMINER	
SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P. A.			MOONEYHAM, JANICE A	
8425 SEASON SUITE 105	S PARKWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST. PAUL, M	N 55125		3629	
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, .	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. Advisory Action	10/672,136	VAIDYANATHAN ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Janice A. Mooneyham	3629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	•	•	ess			
THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notation (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comparing time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a police of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cf	nce, which FR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	=					
	b) Meriod for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) a on, even if timely filed, may	fee under 37 as set forth in (b) reduce any			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must lead to the state of the stat	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	the appeal.			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>		·				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);				
appeal; and/or (d)⊠ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		ompliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling						
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>49-65</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, by	ut before or on the date of filing a	Notice of Appeal will pr	ot be entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fail	Is to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. Other:	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	per. it				
		JOHN G. WEISS				
	SUPERN TECH	nsory patent exam: NOLOGY Center 360	irer 10			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

The applicant has argued that the Israel priority applications fail to mention the subject matter on which the Examiner bases her arguments. However, the Examiner disagrees with this assertion. The priority applications identify a web-based program which enables parties to resolve disputes involving monetary issues via the Internet.

Furthermore, the applicant has amended claims 49 and 58, along with claims 52-53, 55, 58, 61, and 65, . The claims now idenfiy the marketplace as an "electronic marketplace" rather than just a marketplace that provides a web based community for buyers and sellers. The applicant has added in a marketplace database, and receiving an electronic query form a server of the electronic marketplace. All of this would require a new search and further consideration.